Intro to (a Subset of) Concepts of Rust PL

Jingqi Chen

April 1, 2024

Contents

This will not cover:

1. Syntax of Rust, e.g. for, if, fn, let, etc. You can read The Rust Programming Language.

This will cover:

- 1. Resource acquisition is initialization, RAII, comparing to C#.
- 2. Smart pointers.

Some things should be covered (but not today):

- 1. RTTI, comparing to C#.
- 2. Generic, comparing to C++.
- 3. Compile-time function execution, comparing to C++.

But to follow the tradition:

```
use std::io;
fn main() {
    let mut s = String::new();
    io::stdin().read_line(&mut s).unwrap();
    let a: i32 = s.trim().parse().unwrap();
    s.clear();
    io::stdin().read_line(&mut s).unwrap();
    let b: i32 = s.trim().parse().unwrap();
    let sum = a + b;
    println!("{}", sum);
}
```

Resource acquisition is initialization, RAII

- 1. A resource is anything that has to be acquired and released after use, regardless of explicitly or implicitly.
- 2. Examples are memory, locks, sockets, thread handles, and file handles.
- 3. A good resource management system handles all kinds of resources.
- 4. Leaks must be avoided in any long-running systems, but excessive resource retention can be almost as bad as a leak.

Some designs about resource management:

- 1. No abstraction at all: C, etc
- 2. RAII: C++, Rust, etc
- 3. GC: C#, Java, etc

Starting with no abstraction:

```
void func() {
    // allocate 100-size char array in stack
    char x[100];
    // allocate 100-size char array in heap
    char* y = malloc(sizeof(char) * 100);
    // free it before return
    free(y);
}
```

What if?

```
void func() {
    // allocate 100-size char array in stack
    char x[100];
    // this is just a compile warning for gcc 11.4
    // warning: 'free' called on unallocated object 'x'
    // segmentation fault (core dumped)
    // and there could be no warning at all for compilers
    free(x);
    // allocate 100-size char array in heap
    char* y = malloc(sizeof(char) * 100);
    // no free
    // memory leak
```

In the real world:

```
// a very complex function
// in a deep function calling chain
// with early returns
// x and y are passed as pointer
// no info of whether in stack or heap (can or cannot free)
// no info of ownership (should or should not free)
void func(char* x, char* y) {
```

}

}

To solve this issue, ownership design would be straight forward.

As only the owner knows when it should be free (or destructed / garbage collected).

Specifically for Rust:

- Each value in Rust has an owner.
- There can only be one owner at a time.
- When the owner goes out of scope, the value will be dropped.

And:

- There are move and reference(borrowing).
- There are smart pointers.

Move:

The ownership of a variable follows the same pattern every time: assigning a value to another variable moves it. When a variable that includes data on the heap goes out of scope, the value will be cleaned up by drop unless ownership of the data has been moved to another variable.

```
let s1 = String::from("hello");
let s2 = s1;
println!("{}, world!", s1);
// compile failure!
// as = is default to `move` in rust for complex types!
```

```
fn main() {
    let s1 = String::from("hello");
```

```
// move the ownership to calculate_length
let (s2, len) = calculate_length(s1);
println!("The length of '{}' is {}.", s2, len);
}
fn calculate_length(s: String) -> (String, usize) {
    // len() returns the length of a String
    let length = s.len();
    // move the ownership back
    (s, length)
}
```

Reference:

A reference is like a pointer in that it's an address we can follow to access the data stored at that address; that data is owned by some other variable. Unlike a pointer, a reference is guaranteed to point to a valid value of a particular type for the life of that reference.

```
fn main() {
    let s1 = String::from("hello");
    let len = calculate_length(&s1);
    println!("The length of '{}' is {}.", s1, len);
}
fn calculate_length(s: &String) -> usize {
    s.len()
}
```

Note: the closure works the same with function, which could capture the variable via ref, mutable ref, or move.

Some interesting tiny design choices:

- 1. Reference is immutable by default, which is opposite of C++.
- 2. Conpulsory compile-time check of lifetime(scope) & multi mutable ref, which could prevent issues (e.g. dangling pointers / data races), which is not conpulsory of C++. But the check can be bypassed via RefCell or Unsafe.

RAII:

- 1. There is almost zero overhead. The ideal situation is the releasing happens right after it is no longer needed.
- 2. It can goes wrong in runtime (and it is impossible to detect all the issues during compile-time), crash (dangling pointers), memory leak (cyclic ref), etc.
- 3. More complex for lock free concurrent environment, e.g. Hazard pointer.

Comparisons with GC:

- 1. GC is not deterministic, and there is much more overhead. (Recall: GC makes trade-off between footprint, throughput, latency).
- 2. GC can only collect managed resources. (Recall: Dispose of C#).

Further topics of performance difference when there is runtime or not:

- 1. Expected lifetime of each allocation. (or the ratio of IO/Compute)
- Performance optimization methods brought by runtime:
 PGO, LTO.
- 3. How modern GC makes the trade-off:
 - 1. The Pauseless GC Algorithm
 - 2. JEP 439

Smart pointers

References only borrow data, in many cases, smart pointers own the data they point to.

- Box<T> for allocating values on the heap
- Rc<T>, a reference counting type that enables multiple ownership
- Ref<T> and RefMut<T>, accessed through RefCell<T>, a type that enforces the borrowing rules at runtime instead of compile time

Box<T> is the Rust version of unique_ptr.

It represents exclusive ownership. Boxes allow you to store data on the heap rather than the stack.

```
enum List { Cons(i32, List), Nil, }
```

use crate::List::{Cons, Nil};

```
fn main() {
    let list = Cons(1, Cons(2, Cons(3, Nil)));
    // fail! recursive type `List` has infinite size
    // fail to put in stack
}
// ----- the following would do -----
enum List {
    Cons(i32, Box<List>),
    Nil,
}
```

Rc<T> is the Rust version of shared_ptr, but immutable.

```
enum List {
    Cons(i32, Rc<List>),
    Nil,
}
use crate::List::{Cons, Nil};
use std::rc::Rc;
fn main() {
    let a = Rc::new(Cons(5, Rc::new(Cons(10, Rc::new(Nil)))));
    let b = Cons(3, Rc::clone(&a));
    let c = Cons(4, Rc::clone(&a));
}
```

Note:

- 1. The Rc::clone only increments the reference count! It is different with .clone().
- 2. Rc<T> allows only immutable ref, as "multiple mutable borrows to the same place can cause data races and inconsistencies". You may need RefCell<T> for multi mutable ref.
- 3. Rc<T> the increase / decrease of count is **NOT** thread safe (read: atomic)! If you need concurrency, use Arc<T>. This is a interesting design choice.

What is reference counting?

```
fn main() {
    let a = Rc::new(Cons(5, Rc::new(Cons(10, Rc::new(Nil)))));
    println!("count after creating a = {}",
        Rc::strong_count(&a));
    let b = Cons(3, Rc::clone(&a));
    println!("count after creating b = {}",
        Rc::strong_count(&a));
    {
        let c = Cons(4, Rc::clone(&a));
        println!("count after creating c = {}",
            Rc::strong_count(&a));
    }
    println!("count after c goes out of scope = {}",
        Rc::strong_count(&a));
}
```

/* \$ cargo run

```
Compiling cons-list v0.1.0 (file:///projects/cons-list)

Finished dev [unoptimized + debuginfo] target(s) in 0.45s

Running `target/debug/cons-list`

count after creating a = 1

count after creating b = 2

count after creating c = 3

count after c goes out of scope = 2

*/
```

RefCell<T> is used for *Interior mutability*, which is a design pattern in Rust that allows you to mutate data even when there are immutable references to that data.

With references and Box<T>, the borrowing rules' invariants are enforced at **compile time**. With RefCell<T>, these invariants are enforced at **runtime**. So, the program will panic rather than compile failure.

RefCell<T> is needed as, it is impossible to detect all the issues during compile-time (Recall: The halting problem is undecidable).

```
#[derive(Debug)]
enum List {
    Cons(Rc<RefCell<i32>>, Rc<List>),
    Nil,
}
use crate::List::{Cons, Nil};
use std::cell::RefCell;
use std::rc::Rc;
fn main() {
    let value = Rc::new(RefCell::new(5));
    let a = Rc::new(Cons(Rc::clone(&value), Rc::new(Nil)));
    let b = Cons(Rc::new(RefCell::new(3)), Rc::clone(&a));
    let c = Cons(Rc::new(RefCell::new(4)), Rc::clone(&a));
    *value.borrow_mut() += 10;
}
```

Note:

- 1. Recall const_cast of C++.
- 2. Using Rc<T> with RefCell<T>, we finally get the full equivalent of shared_ptr of C++. So we can create the cyclic ref to leak the memory!

```
fn main() {
    let a = Rc::new(Cons(5, RefCell::new(Rc::new(Nil))));
    let b = Rc::new(Cons(10, RefCell::new(Rc::clone(&a))));
    if let Some(link) = a.tail() {
        *link.borrow_mut() = Rc::clone(&b);
    }
    println!("a next item = {:?}", a.tail());
}
```

But how can we break the cycle? Weak<T> would be the solution. Instead of creating the cycle, checking if the value has already been dropped in runtime is needed. (Recall: weak_ptr of C++).